

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Force Factor Calculation

The order of operations for calculating force factors is as follows:

- a. We first choose to examine one 4 element combination. We determined the presence or absence of each element at each time point.
- b. We then perform logistic regression on the binary encoded proportion of the amount of the 4 element combination at each time point to determine the effect of each element on proviral decay or persistence via the logistic rate parameter (β₁).

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 - \beta_1 x)}}$$

- c. We then repeated this process after choosing every 4-element combination and determining the presence and absence of all elements at each time point, followed by logistic regression.
- d. Next, we prepared a table of all the element combinations and their associated slopes and rank ordered them from the smallest to the largest rate parameter.
- e. We then isolated the most extreme combinations, choosing the 5% (2046 combinations) with the lowest rate parameters and the 5% with the highest rate parameters.
- f. Force factors were calculated for each element by considering the number of occurrences of the element in the lower 5% and subtracting from it the number of occurrences of the element in the upper 5% then diving the result by the total number of possible occurrences (2046)

 $ForceFactor = \frac{Element_{upper} - Element_{lower}}{2046}$



Supplemental Figure1: Force Factor Calculation

Calculating Force Factors В Α C1 elements C2 elements C3 elements 1 β1 Time T1 Τ1 T1 T2 Т3 T2 Т3 Τ1 T2 T3 C4 elements C5 elements C6 elements Time T2 1 Time T3 Τ1 T2 T3 Τ1 T2 T3 Τ1 T2 Т3 С D Element1 Element2 Slope Order Element # in upper # in lower Force Factor Combination C6 Black Green β6 Red 1 1 0.000 1 2 C3 Red β3 Blue 0 2 -1.000 Green 1 1 C2 Red Black β2 3 Black 0.000 2 0 1.000 C5 Blue Green β5 4 Green

Mock Experiment to Explain Force Factor Calculation.

Black

Red

β4

β1

Blue

Blue

C4

C1

(A) To illustrate how Force Factors are calculated we created a genome that consists of four elements (red, blue, black and green). In this mock experiment, we sequenced five genomes at each of three different time points (T1, T2 and T3). Similar to the intact and defective nature of

5

6



actual HIV genomes in vivo, our genomes can be intact, containing all four genomic elements, or they can be defective and only contain a subset of the four elements.

(B) For this mock analysis, we selected all two-element combinations of the four elements for a total of 6 possible combinations. (C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6). For each combination, we determined the proportion of genomes at each time point that contain the elements in the combination and then performed logistic regression to determine the rate parameter (slope). For ease of understanding, element proportions and linear slopes are shown in the figure. The elements that are associated with decay will have a negative slope and elements that are protective will be associated with a positive slope. For each combination, we calculate the corresponding slope (β 1, β 2, β 3, β 4, β 5, β 6). (C) We prepare a table of every combination with their corresponding slope and rank them from highest to lowest slope. We then focused on the combinations that had the biggest effect by studying the extremes. For this example, we consider the combinations in the lower $\sim 30\%$ and upper $\sim 30\%$ in terms of their slopes (i.e., the two combinations with the highest slopes and the two combinations with the lowest slopes). (D) To calculate the force factor for a given element we count the number of occurrences of that element in lower group and subtract from it the number of occurrences of that element in the upper group then divide by the total amount of combinations in one group. For example, calculation of the force factor for the blue element and green elements are:

$$Blue_{FF} = \frac{Blue_{upper} - Blue_{lower}}{Total \ Combinations \ one \ group} = \frac{(0-2)}{2} = -1$$
$$Green_{FF} = \frac{Green_{upper} - Green_{lower}}{Total \ Combinations \ one \ group} = \frac{(2-0)}{2} = 1$$

The force factor ranges from -1 to 1. The closer a force factor is to -1 the more the element is related to decay. Conversely, the closer a force factor is to 1 the more the element is related to persistence. In this simple example the blue element has a force factor of -1 and therefore would be heavily associated with decay and the green element has a force factor of 1 and is therefore associated with persistence.



Supplemental Table 1: List of the Sequences of Splice and Packaging Sites Used to Annotate the Sequenced Proviruses

*D1	GGTRAGT
D1a	RGTAAGA
D2	GGTGAAGGGG
D3	GGTAGGA
*D4	AGTAAGT
A1	AAATTTTCGGGTTTATTACAGG (3 mismatches allowed)
A1a	TCTTAAAATTAGC (1 mismatch allowed)
A2	ATTGTTTTCAGA (1 mismatch allowed)
A3	ATTCATTTCAGA (1 mismatch allowed)
*A4a	TKTGYTTCWYRAMAAAAGS
*A4b	SCTTAGG
*A4c	TWTCATTGCCAAGT
*A5	GCATCTCCTATGGCAGG
*A7	YTRTCRTTBCAGA (1 mismatch allowed)
*SL1	ACTCGGCTTGCTGARGYGCRCWCRGCAAGAGGCGAG (4 mismatches allowed)
*SL2	CGGCGRCTGGTGAGTACGCC (2 mismatches allowed)
*SL3	GACTAGCGGAGGCTAG (1 mismatch allowed)
*SL4	GGTGCGAGAGCGTC (1 mismatch allowed)
V1	TGCACTGATTTGAAGAATGATACTAATACCAATAGTAGTAG CGGGAGAATGATAATGGAGAAAGGAGAGATAAAAAAC
V2	TGCTCTTTCAATATCAGCACAAGCATAAGAGGTAAGGTGCA GAAAGAATATGCATTTTTTATAAACTTGATATAATACCAATA GATAATGATACTACCAGCTATAAGTTGACAAGTTGT

Cannon L, Fehrman S, Pinzone M, Weissman S, O'Doherty U. Machine Learning Bolsters Evidence That D1, Nef, and Tat Influence HIV Reservoir Dynamics. Pathogens and Immunity. 2024;8(2):37–58. doi: 10.20411/pai.v8i2.621

PATHOGENSAND IMMUNITY IN ASSOCIATION WITH CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY AND THE RICHARD J. FASENWYER FOUNDATION

V3	TGTACAAGACCCAACAACAATACAAGAAAAAGAATCCGTAT CCAGAGAGGACCAGGGAGAGCATTTGTTACAATAGGAAAA ATAGGAAATATGAGACAAGCACATTGT
V4	TGTAATTCAACACAACTGTTTAATAGTACTTGGTTTAATAGT ACTTGGAGTACTGAAGGGTCAAATAACACTGAAGGAAGTG ACACAATCACCCTCCCATGC
V5	TGTAATTCAACACAACTGTTTAATAGTACTTGGTTTAATAGT ACTTGGAGTACTGAAGGGTCAAATAACACTGAAGGAAGTG ACACAATCACCCTCCCATGC
gag	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
pol	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
vif	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
vpr	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
vpu	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
env	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
nef	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
rev	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
tat	Location of ORF as defined by HXB2. Start and stop codon +/-20 nucleotides
*RRE	Nucleotides 7709-8063 of HXB2 sequence (www.hiv.lanl.gov)

All elements that were required to define a provirus as intact are indicated in bold with asterisks. The Trans-activation response element was not included as we did not capture its entire sequence with our cloning strategy. We accepted both the canonical D1 sequence (GGTRAGT) as well as a GT dinucleotide cryptic donor site located four nucleotides downstream from D1.



Criteria for Excluding Sequences:

To avoid ambiguous nucleotides due to low coverage at each end, we analyzed the region of each sequence from 20 nucleotides downstream of the 5' end primer to 20 nucleotides upstream of the 3' end primer.

On rare occasions, proviruses were excluded from analysis due to technical limitations.

Specifically, proviruses were excluded based on the following:

- 1) Poor read coverage leading to assembly failure of consensus sequence.
- 2) Reads were determined to originate from more than one provirus determined by the following criteria:
 - Dinucleotide calls (>5%) within the aligned reads, suggesting more than one provirus was present during PCR amplification. Exceptions to this rule included insertions of additional adenosine nucleotides at the beginning/end of chains with at least 5 consecutive adenosine nucleotides as well as other dinucleotide calls appearing with frequency consistent with PCR error during any of the round of amplification.
 - Regions with sharp drops in coverage suggesting the presence of both a provirus with a deletion and at least one or more without a deletion.

Motifs and ORFs Identification

Sequence reads from each provirus were de novo assembled to generate a consensus sequence of each proviral genome. All possible ORFs were annotated within the assembled genomes by searching for the canonical start codon sequence ATG and extending the ORF until a stop codon was reached. The non-canonical start codon TTT was used to identify the pol gene. To be labeled as an intact HIV ORF, we required that the AUG or TTTTTT (for pol) and the stop codon to be present within 20 nucleotides of the ORF in HXB2 without premature stop codons. To identify Tat and Rev, exons 1 and 2 of Tat and Rev were annotated to the provirus genome based on 65% homology with the HXB2 Tat and Rev 1 and 2. These Tat 1/2 and Rev 1/2 homologous sequences of the provirus were then extracted, concatenated, and translated. The Tat and Rev sequences were considered intact if the sequences had no early stop codons and retained the proper stop codon. We accepted known early stops variants of Tat.



Participant	Race Sex	Age	Nadir CD4	Time Since HIV Diagnosis (Yrs)	Time on ART (Yrs)	CD4 T- Cell Count	HIV Plasma Viral Load	Antiretroviral Therapy
CT1*	WM	47	295	24	0.9	617	<50	ATV/r/TDF/FTC
CT2*	WM	38	0	12	0.3	386	<50	ATV/r/3Tc/d4T
СТ3*	WM	51	14	5	4.3	359	<50	AZT/3Tc/ABC
CT4*	BF	40	194	16	1.9	287	<50	EFV/TDF/FTC
CT5	BM	31	114	10	3	268	<50	ATV/r/ABC/FTC
СТ6	BM	36	241	8	5.8	470	<50	DRV/r/TDF/FTC
CT7	WM	59	184	UNK	18	797	<20	ATV/r/RAL

Supplemental Table 2: Clinical Characteristics

Table showing the clinical characteristics of each chronically treated (CT) study participant at the time of the first apheresis collection. Asterisks (*) denotes patients also used in Pinzone et al, 2019.

ART Abbreviations: ATV = atazanavir, r = ritonavir, TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, 3Tc = lamivudine, d4T = stavudine, AZT = zidovudine, ABC = abacavir, EFV = efavirenz, DRV = darunavir, RAL = Raltegravir



Supplemental Table 3: Intact and Defective Sequences Analyzed

Participant	Apheresis Timepoint	Intact Sequences	Defective Sequences	
	2008	12	84	
	2010	5	135	
CT1	2012	4	99	
UII	2015	2	126	
	2018	1	122	
	2019	2	125	
	2005	36	115	
	2007	16	90	
	2009	14	102	
CT1	2011	15	206	
012	2014	10	185	
	2015	13	183	
	2018	9	99	
	2019	3	120	
	2001	17	103	
CT3	2005	53	123	
	2007	4	94	
CT4	2010	19	111	
C14	2014	9	98	
CTF	2015	4	97	
C15	2016	3	87	
CT(2015	1	116	
C10	2017	1	114	
CT7	2014	4	97	
	2016	1	109	

Table showing the intact and defective sequences analyzed at each timepoint for each chronically treated (CT) study participant.



Supplemental Table 4: Decay Parameter Estimates

Single Phase			Intact		Defective	
			Clones			Clones
Parameter	Description	All Data	Reduced		All Data	Reduced
γ	fixed single phase decay rate	-0.33	-0.36		-0.07	-0.09
Y01	CT1 Initial Reservoir Concentration	633	635		4116	3845
Y02	CT2 Initial Reservoir Concentration	2361	2357		4938	5009
Y03	CT3 Initial Reservoir Concentration	419	335		472	288
Y04	CT4 Initial Reservoir Concentration	2049	2162		5538	5627
Y05	CT5 Initial Reservoir Concentration	280	324		3809	3963
Y06	CT6 Initial Reservoir Concentration	146	150		2737	2466
Y07	CT7 Initial Reservoir Concentration	7320	6043		3492	3682
	Biphasic	Int	act		Defective	
			Clones			Clones
Parameter	Description	All Data	Reduced		All Data	Reduced
α	fixed first phase decay rate	-0.46	-0.42		-0.09	-0.11
β	fixed second phase decay rate	-0.02	-0.02		-0.03	-0.06
A01	CT1 First Compartment Initial Concentration	688	632	ļ	3067	2940
	CT1 Second Compartment Initial					
B01	Concentration	41	25		1130	914
A02	CT2 First Compartment Initial Concentration	2289	2338		4355	4523
	CT2 Second Compartment Initial					
B02	Concentration	201	81		802	559
A03	CT3 First Compartment Initial Concentration	450	400		331	166
	CT3 Second Compartment Initial					
B03	Concentration	45	8		95	87
A04	CT4 First Compartment Initial Concentration	1849	1876		5040	4837
	CT4 Second Compartment Initial					
B04	Concentration	297	230		790	917
A05	CT5 First Compartment Initial Concentration	154	152		3174	3063
	CT5 Second Compartment Initial					
B05	Concentration	93	92		838	1017
A06	CT6 First Compartment Initial Concentration	76	75		2474	1679
	CT6 Second Compartment Initial					
B06	Concentration	11	11		541	770
A07	CT7 First Compartment Initial Concentration	150	150		3354	3332
	CT7 Second Compartment Initial					
B07	Concentration	34	36		690	708



Table showing parameter estimates for reservoir dynamics analysis. Decay rates are given for fits for the single-phase model the and biphasic model for both cases when all data is considered and when clones are reduced.



Supplemental Table 5: *P* values and Force Factors

Elemen t	Force Factor All	P Values All	Force Factor Clones Removed	P Values Clones Removed	Force Factor Only Clones	P Value Only Clones
D1	-0.847	0.000	-0.604	0.000	-0.897	0.000
D1a	-0.022	0.948	-0.054	1.000	0.205	0.573
D2	0.013	0.948	0.116	1.000	-0.027	0.984
D3	-0.031	0.948	-0.016	0.935	-0.022	0.922
D4	0.073	0.832	0.074	1.000	-0.018	0.925
A1	0.004	0.998	0.135	0.904	-0.012	0.937
A1a	-0.032	0.948	-0.028	0.938	0.066	0.573
A2	0.010	0.948	0.063	1.000	0.030	0.573
A3	0.083	0.832	0.062	1.000	-0.003	0.981
A4a	0.062	0.832	0.043	0.995	0.033	0.573
A4b	0.059	0.832	0.039	0.956	0.027	0.573
A4c	-0.016	0.948	0.337	0.053	-0.030	0.573
A5	0.056	0.958	0.030	0.962	0.027	0.953
A7	0.049	0.948	-0.003	0.977	0.022	0.949
SL1	-0.061	0.832	-0.091	1.000	-0.117	0.573
SL2	-0.207	0.739	-0.309	0.059	-0.122	0.573
SL3	-0.080	0.832	-0.072	1.000	-0.125	0.573
SL4	-0.083	0.832	-0.044	0.953	-0.091	0.573
V1	0.116	0.832	0.048	1.000	0.043	0.573
V2	0.089	0.832	0.061	1.000	0.045	0.573
V3	0.015	0.948	0.045	1.000	-0.036	0.573
V4	0.062	0.832	0.063	1.000	0.051	0.573
V5	0.062	0.832	0.065	1.000	0.051	0.573
gag	-0.169	0.739	-0.116	0.904 -0.083		0.573
pol	-0.161	0.832	-0.121	0.904 -0.073		0.573
vif	0.009	0.990	0.066	1.000	0.066	0.573
vpr	0.058	0.987	-0.018	0.952 0.045		0.573
vpu	0.055	0.928	-0.006	0.984 0.067		0.573
env	-0.001	0.994	0.167	0.904 0.294		0.105
nef	0.507	0.003	0.209	0.516	0.119	0.573
rev	0.162	0.986	-0.031	0.991	0.067	0.573
tat	0.100	0.832	-0.135	0.904	0.356	0.038
rre	0.066	0.832	0.026	0.925	0.040	0.573



Table showing the p values and mean force factors for each element when all data is utilized, clones are removed, and only the large clones are considered. *Significant Elements*

Supplemental Figure 2: Force Factor Null Distributions



The *P* values were calculated by randomly permuting the elements in each provirus at each time point and calculating the resulting force factors. The null distribution is shown in each plot with the significant elements at their respective force factors for A) when all proviruses are considered, B) the clonal proviruses are removed, and C) when only the clonal proviruses are considered.